




Wikimedia

volunteer-generated content

Katie Filbert


filbertk@gmail.com

2001




Project: **Wikipedia** Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary
Canvas: 800x450 800x600 900x675 **1000x750** 1200x900 1600x1200
Author: Erik Zachte - <http://infodisiac.com/>

2001




Project: **Wikipedia** Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary
Canvas: 800x450 800x600 900x675 **1000x750** 1200x900 1600x1200
Author: Erik Zachte - <http://infodisiac.com/>

2002




2003



Project: **Wikipedia** Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary
 Canvas: 800x450 800x600 900x675 **1000x750** 1200x900 1600x1200
 Author: Erik Zachte - <http://infodisiac.com/>

2004



Project: Wikipedia Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary
 Canvas: 600x450 800x600 900x675 1000x750 1200x900 1600x1200
 Author: Erik Zächte - <http://infodisiac.com/>

2004



WIKIPEDIA
The Free Encyclopedia

navigation

- [Main page](#)
- [Contents](#)
- [Featured content](#)
- [Current events](#)
- [Random article](#)

search

interaction

- [About Wikipedia](#)
- [Community portal](#)
- [Recent changes](#)
- [Contact Wikipedia](#)
- [Donate to Wikipedia](#)
- [Help](#)

toolbox

- [What links here](#)
- [Related changes](#)
- [Upload file](#)
- [Special pages](#)
- [Printable version](#)
- [Permanent link](#)

languages

- [Alemannisch](#)
- [Arabic](#)

[Try Beta](#) [Log in / create account](#)

[project page](#)

[discussion](#)

[edit this page](#)

[history](#)

Wikipedia:Five pillars

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

(Redirected from [Wikipedia:5P](#))

The fundamental principles by which Wikipedia operates have been summarized by editors in the form of **five "pillars"**:



Wikipedia is an encyclopedia that incorporates elements of general and specialized [encyclopedias](#), [almanacs](#), and [gazetteers](#). Content should be [verifiable with citations to reliable sources](#). Our editors' [personal experiences](#), [interpretations](#), or [opinions](#) do not belong here. Wikipedia is not a [soapbox](#), an [advertising platform](#), a [vanity press](#), an [experiment in anarchy](#) or [democracy](#), an [indiscriminate collection of information](#), or a [web directory](#). It is not a [dictionary](#), [newspaper](#), or a [collection of source documents](#); that kind of content should be contributed instead to the [Wikimedia sister projects](#).



Wikipedia has a neutral point of view, which means we strive for articles that advocate no single [point of view](#). Sometimes this requires representing multiple points of view, presenting each point of view accurately, providing context for any given point of view, and not presenting any point of view as "the truth" or "the best view". That means citing [verifiable](#), [authoritative sources](#) whenever possible, especially on [controversial topics](#). When a conflict arises regarding neutrality, hammer out details on the [talk page](#), and follow [dispute resolution](#).



Wikipedia is free content that [anyone can edit](#) and distribute. Respect [copyright](#) laws. Since all your contributions are [freely licensed to the public](#), no editor [owns any article](#); all of your contributions can and will be mercilessly edited and redistributed.



Wikipedians should interact in a respectful and civil manner: Respect and be polite to your fellow [Wikipedians](#), even when you disagree. Apply Wikipedia [etiquette](#), and avoid [personal attacks](#). Find [consensus](#), avoid [edit wars](#), and remember that there are 3,156,552 articles on the English Wikipedia to work on and discuss. Act in good faith, [never disrupt Wikipedia to illustrate a point](#), and [assume good faith](#) on the part of others. Be open and [welcoming](#).



Wikipedia does not have firm rules besides the five general principles presented here. [Be bold](#) in updating articles and do not worry about making mistakes. Your efforts [do not need to be perfect](#), because [prior versions are saved by default](#), no damage you might do is irreparable.


Shortcuts:

[WP:FIVE](#)

[WP:5P](#)

Categories: [Wikipedia policies and guidelines](#) | [Wikipedia basic information](#)


2004


[Try Beta](#)  [Log in / create account](#)

[project page](#) [discussion](#) [view source](#) [history](#)

Wikipedia:Neutral point of view

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

 This page documents an English Wikipedia [policy](#), a widely accepted standard that all editors should [normally](#) follow. Changes made to it should reflect [consensus](#).

 **This page in a nutshell:** Editors must write articles from a *neutral point of view*, representing all significant views fairly, proportionately, and without [bias](#).

Shortcuts:
[WP:NPOV](#)
[WP:NPV](#)
[WP:NEU](#)

To raise issues with specific articles, see the [NPOV noticeboard](#). For advice on applying this policy, see the [NPOV tutorial](#). For frequent critiques and responses, see the [NPOV FAQ](#).

Neutral point of view (NPOV) is a [fundamental Wikimedia principle](#) and a [cornerstone of Wikipedia](#). All [Wikipedia](#) articles and other encyclopedic content must be written from a neutral point of view, representing fairly, proportionately, and as far as possible without bias, all *significant* views that have been [published by reliable sources](#). This is non-negotiable and expected of all articles and all editors.

"Neutral point of view" is one of Wikipedia's three core content policies, along with "[Verifiability](#)" and "[No original research](#)." Jointly, these policies determine the type and quality of material that is acceptable in Wikipedia articles. They should not be interpreted in isolation from one another, and editors should therefore familiarize themselves with all three. The principles upon which these policies are based cannot be superseded by other policies or guidelines, or by editors' consensus.

The Five Pillars

Content policies

- [Biographies of living persons](#)
- [Naming conventions](#)
- Neutral point of view**
- [No original research](#)
- [Verifiability](#)
- [What Wikipedia is not](#)

2004

Try Beta [Log in / create account](#)

[project page](#) [discussion](#) [view source](#) [history](#)

Wikipedia:Verifiability

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

"WP:V" redirects here. For vandalism on Wikipedia, see [WP:VAND](#).

This page documents an English Wikipedia [policy](#), a widely accepted standard that all editors should [normally](#) follow. Changes made to it should reflect [consensus](#).

[Shortcuts:](#)
[WP:V](#)
[WP:VERIFY](#)
[WP:SOURCE](#)

This page in a nutshell: Material challenged or likely to be challenged, and all quotations, must be attributed to a reliable, published source.

For discussions concerning the [reliability](#) of particular sources, see the [reliable sources noticeboard](#).

The threshold for inclusion in Wikipedia is **verifiability, not truth**—that is, whether readers are able to check that material added to Wikipedia has already been published by a [reliable source](#), not whether we think it is true. Editors should provide a reliable source for quotations and for any material that is challenged or likely to be challenged, or the material may be removed. Since this is the English language version of Wikipedia, English language sources are preferred, but non-English sources are allowed.

Wikipedia:Verifiability is one of Wikipedia's core content policies, along with [Wikipedia:No original research](#) and [Wikipedia:Neutral point of view](#). Jointly, these policies determine the type and quality of material that is acceptable in Wikipedia articles. They should not be interpreted in isolation from one another, and editors should therefore familiarize themselves with all three.

The Five Pillars

Content policies

- [Biographies of living persons](#)
- [Naming conventions](#)
- [Neutral point of view](#)
- [No original research](#)
- Verifiability**
- [What Wikipedia is not](#)

2004

[project page](#) [discussion](#) [edit this page](#) [history](#)

Try Beta [Log in / create account](#)

Wikipedia:No original research

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia
(Redirected from [Wikipedia:NOR](#))



This page documents an English Wikipedia [policy](#), a widely accepted standard that all editors should [normally](#) follow. Changes made to it should reflect [consensus](#).

Shortcuts:
[WP:OR](#)
[WP:NOR](#)
[WP:ORIG](#)
[WP:ORIGINAL](#)

This page in a nutshell:

-  Wikipedia does [not](#) publish original thought: all material in Wikipedia must be attributable to a [reliable, published source](#).
- Articles may not contain any new analysis or synthesis of published material that serves to advance a position not clearly advanced by the sources.

To raise issues with specific articles, see the [No original research noticeboard](#)

Wikipedia does not publish original research or original thought. This includes unpublished facts, arguments, speculation, and ideas; and any unpublished [analysis](#) or synthesis of published material that serves to advance a position. This means that [Wikipedia](#) is [not](#) the place to publish your own opinions, experiences, arguments, or conclusions.

[Citing sources](#) and avoiding original research are inextricably linked. To demonstrate that you are not presenting original research, you must cite reliable sources that are **directly related** to the topic of the article, and that **directly support** the information as it is presented.

"No original research" is one of three core content policies, along with [neutral point of view](#) and

The Five Pillars
Content policies

- [Biographies of living persons](#)
- [Naming conventions](#)
- [Neutral point of view](#)
- No original research**
- [Verifiability](#)
- [What Wikipedia is not](#)

2004



navigation

- [Main page](#)
- [Contents](#)
- [Featured content](#)
- [Current events](#)
- [Random article](#)

search

interaction

- [About Wikipedia](#)
- [Community portal](#)
- [Recent changes](#)
- [Contact Wikipedia](#)
- [Donate to Wikipedia](#)
- [Help](#)

toolbox

- [What links here](#)
- [Related changes](#)

[Try Beta](#) [Log in / create account](#)

[project page](#)

[discussion](#)

[edit this page](#)

[history](#)

Editing Wikipedia:No original research

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



You are not currently [logged in](#). Editing this way will cause your [IP address](#) to be [recorded publicly](#) in [this page's edit history](#). If you [create an account](#), you can conceal your IP address and be provided with many other [benefits](#).

Messages sent to your IP can be viewed on [your talk page](#).

Please do not save test edits. If you want to experiment, please use the [sandbox](#).




```
{{policy|WP:OR|WP:NOR|WP:ORIG|WP:ORIGINAL}}
{{nutshell|Wikipedia does [[Wikipedia:What Wikipedia is not|not]] publish original
thought: all material in Wikipedia must be attributable to a
[[Wikipedia:Verifiability|reliable, published source]]. Articles may not contain any new
analysis or synthesis of published material that serves to advance a position not clearly
advanced by the sources.}}
{{Content policy list}}
```

```
{{dablink|To raise issues with specific articles, see the [[Wikipedia:No original
research/noticeboard|No original research noticeboard]]}}
```

'''Wikipedia does not publish original research or original thought'''. This includes unpublished facts, arguments, speculation, and ideas; and any unpublished [\[\[analysis\]\]](#) or synthesis of published material that serves to advance a position. This means that [\[\[Wikipedia:What Wikipedia is not|Wikipedia is not\]\]](#) the place to publish your own opinions, experiences, arguments, or conclusions.


[\[\[Wikipedia:Citing sources|Citing sources\]\]](#) and avoiding original research are inextricably linked. To demonstrate that you are not presenting original research, you must cite reliable sources that are '''directly related''' to the topic of the article,

2005





2006



Project: **Wikipedia** Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary
 Canvas: 600x450 800x600 900x675 **1000x750** 1200x900 1600x1200
 Author: Erik Zachte - <http://infodisiac.com/>



Banff National Park

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Coordinates: 51°10'00"N 115°33'00"W

Banff National Park (pronounced /bəmf/) is Canada's oldest national park, established in 1885 in the **Rocky Mountains**. The park, located 110-180 kilometres (70-110 **mi**) west of **Calgary** in the province of **Alberta**, encompasses 6.641 square kilometres (2,564 **sq** **mi**)^[1] of mountainous terrain, with numerous **glaciers** and **ice fields**, dense coniferous forest, and alpine landscapes. The **Icefields Parkway** extends from **Lake Louise**, connecting to **Jasper National Park** in the north. Provincial forests and **Yoho National Park** are neighbours to the west, while **Kootenay National Park** is located to the south and **Kananaskis County** to the southeast. The main commercial centre of the park is the town of **Banff**, in the **Bow River** valley.

Banff National Park



Alberta
Calgary, Alberta
51°10'00"N 115°33'00"W
6,641 km² (2,564 sq mi)
1885
3,927,557 (in 2004/05)
Parks Canada

2006

Try Beta  [Log in / create account](#)[article](#)[discussion](#)[edit this page](#)[history](#)

Jordanhill railway station

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Coordinates:  55.8826°N 4.3246°W﻿ / ﻿

Jordanhill railway station is a [side platformed suburban railway station](#) in the [Jordanhill](#) area in the [West End](#) of [Glasgow](#), Scotland. The station, which is governed by [Transport Scotland](#) and managed by [First ScotRail](#), lies on the [Argyle Line](#) and the [North Clyde Line](#).^[1] It is located near the [Jordanhill Campus](#) of the [University of Strathclyde](#) and sits atop Crow Road, an important western thoroughfare in Glasgow and the main route to the [Clyde Tunnel](#).^[2] The station is five stops and eleven minutes' journey time from [Glasgow Central](#) on the Argyle Line. Trains on the North Clyde Line pass through without stopping at the station. In operation since 1887, it stemmed the losses for an area that was in decline.

Contents [\[hide\]](#)

- 1 History
 - 1.1 Plans for rebuilding
- 2 Services
- 3 In the area
- 4 Gallery
- 5 Notes and references
- 6 External links

History

[\[edit\]](#)

The station opened on 1 August 1887 as part of the [Glasgow, Yoker and Clydebank Railway](#).^[3] Construction of the station structure was not completed until 1895, with modular-design wooden buildings, commonly seen on the new suburban railway lines, being built on both platforms.^[4] The station is located on part of the former site of brick and tile works, Jordanhill being an area of [artisans](#) and [miners](#) until the close of the 19th century.^[5] The railway station arrived just as much of the local industry was declining, giving residents, who previously had to walk to [Hillhead](#) or [Partick](#) to find transport into Glasgow, proper access to the city centre.^[6]

Jordanhill




Location

Place	Jordanhill
Local authority	City of Glasgow
Coordinates	 55.8826°N 4.3246°W﻿ / ﻿

Operations

Station code	JOR
Managed by	First ScotRail
Platforms in use	2

[Live arrivals/departures](#)  and [station information](#)  from National Rail

Annual rail passenger usage

2004/05 * 0.204 million

2006

[article](#)[discussion](#)[edit this page](#)[history](#)

Wikipedia biography controversy

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

The **Wikipedia biography controversy**, sometimes called the **Seigenthaler incident**,^[1] was a series of events that began in May 2005 with the anonymous posting of a hoax article in the online encyclopedia *Wikipedia* about [John Seigenthaler](#), a well-known American journalist. The post fabricated statements that Seigenthaler had been a suspect in the [assassinations](#) of U.S. President [John F. Kennedy](#) and Attorney General [Robert F. Kennedy](#). 78-year-old Seigenthaler, who had been a friend and aide to Robert Kennedy and a pallbearer at his funeral, characterized the Wikipedia entry about him as "Internet character assassination".^[2] The hoax was not discovered and corrected for more than four months, after which Seigenthaler wrote about his experience in *USA Today*. The incident raised questions about the reliability of Wikipedia and other websites with [user-generated content](#) that lack the legal accountability of traditional newspapers and published materials.^[3] After the incident, Wikipedia co-founder [Jimmy Wales](#) stated that the encyclopedia had barred unregistered users from creating new articles.^[4]



John Seigenthaler

2006

[project page](#)[discussion](#)[view source](#)[history](#)

Wikipedia:Biographies of living persons

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



This page documents an English Wikipedia [policy](#), a widely accepted standard that all editors should [normally](#) follow. Changes made to it should reflect [consensus](#).

Shortcuts:

[WP:BLP](#)

[WP:LIVE](#)

[WP:BOLP](#)



This page in a nutshell: Wikipedia articles can affect real people's lives. This gives us an ethical and legal responsibility. Biographical material must be written with the greatest care and attention to [verifiability](#), [neutrality](#) and [avoiding original research](#).



If you are concerned about the accuracy or appropriateness of biographical material in a Wikipedia article, report problems at the [biographies of living persons noticeboard](#). For articles about yourself, please see "[Dealing with articles about yourself](#)" below.

Editors must take particular care adding **information about living persons** to *any* Wikipedia page. Such material requires a high degree of sensitivity, and must adhere *strictly* to all applicable laws in the [United States](#) and to all of our content policies, especially:

- [Neutral point of view](#) (NPOV)
- [Verifiability](#)
- [No original research](#)

We must get the article *right*.^[1] Be very firm about the use of high quality [references](#).

Contentious material about living persons that is unsourced or poorly sourced—whether the

~~material is negative, positive, or just questionable—should be removed immediately and~~

The Five Pillars

Content policies

Biographies of living persons

[Naming conventions](#)


[Neutral point of view](#)

[No original research](#)

[Verifiability](#)


[What Wikipedia is not](#)

2007



Project: Wikipedia Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary
Canvas: 600x450 800x600 900x675 1000x750 1200x900 1600x1200
Author: Erik Zächte - <http://infodisiac.com/>

2008




Project: Wikipedia Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary

Canvas: 600x450 800x600 900x675 1000x750 1200x900 1600x1200

Author: Erik Zachte - <http://infodisiac.com/>


2009



Project: Wikipedia Wikibooks Wikinews Wikiquote Wikisource Wikiversity Wiktionary
Canvas: 800x450 800x600 900x675 1000x750 1200x900 1600x1200
Author: Erik Zachte - <http://infodisiac.com/>



2009



© 2006 The Authors
Journal compilation © 2006 Blackwell Publishing Ltd



2010

5th most popular web property

346 million unique visitors / month

11.3 billion page requests / month



2010

7,300 new articles per day

3,157,470 articles in English

541,440 geocoded English articles

5.6 million images / files

28.5 million articles + files on all projects



2010

96,000 active editors (5+ edits / mon)

11,700 very active editors (100+)

18,700 new editors

10.8 million edits / month



2010

Who contributes to Wikipedia?

62% - reader

24% - occasional

9% - regular contributor

2.7% - former contributor

2010

Who contributes to Wikipedia?

Average age: 25 years old

25% younger than 18 years old

25% between 18 – 22

25% between 23 – 30

25% over 30 years old



2010

Who contributes to Wikipedia?

Contributors:

86% male; 14% female

Readers:

59% male; 41% female



2010

Why contribute?

I like the idea of sharing knowledge and want to contribute to it

I saw an error I wanted to fix

I do it for professional reasons

I want to learn new skills / acquire new knowledge

2010



WIKIPEDIA
The Free Encyclopedia

navigation

- [Main page](#)
- [Contents](#)
- [Featured content](#)
- [Current events](#)
- [Random article](#)

search

interaction

- [About Wikipedia](#)
- [Community portal](#)
- [Recent changes](#)
- [Contact Wikipedia](#)
- [Donate to Wikipedia](#)
- [Help](#)

toolbox

- [What links here](#)
- [Related changes](#)
- [Upload file](#)
- [Special pages](#)
- [Printable version](#)
- [Permanent link](#)

[user page](#) [discussion](#) [edit this page](#) [history](#)

[Try Beta](#) [Log in](#) / [create account](#)

User:Newyorkbrad/Decorations

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

< [User:Newyorkbrad](#)

Barnstars



Original Barnstar Award

To [Newyorkbrad](#) for insightful commentary in an attempt to mend a very difficult-to-mend fence. -- [Samir](#) ॐ 03:51, 15 September 2006 (UTC)



The Random Acts of Kindness Barnstar

For your extensive efforts on [Wikipedia:Requests for arbitration/Giano/Workshop](#), and indeed throughout that entire sad, sorry mess, to minimize the resulting damage to *all* parties concerned. You seem to be the main one recognizing that they are all well-meaning and valuable contributors. [AnonEMouse](#) ^(squeak) 14:06, 29 September 2006 (UTC)



The Defender of the Wiki Barnstar

For the only *really* tough question asked [here](#). [John Reid](#) * 23:18, 9 November 2006 (UTC)



The Tireless Barnstar of Propositions

For all your work creating insightful, civil and intelligent proposals for Findings of Fact and Remedies at [RTAR](#), I award you this barnstar. [Daniel](#) 08:21, 18 November 2006 (UTC)



The Random Acts of Kindness Barnstar

Thanks for the support! [MONGO](#) 09:42, 20 December 2006 (UTC)



The Barnstar of Diligence

I hereby award you the Barnstar of Diligence for your courageous opposition to administrative overextensions of power during AfD debates. [Tarinth](#) 22:57, 28 December 2006 (UTC)



Barnstar

Nominating

Nominations can only be accepted by the user in question. If you wish to nominate a user, contact them first before making the nomination page. If they accept, create the nomination and ask them to sign their acceptance. To nominate either yourself or another user for adminship, [follow the instructions on this page](#). The nomination may be considered "malformed" and removed if you do not follow these instructions or [transclude](#) the request properly. Users interested in becoming administrators may add themselves to [Category:Wikipedia administrator hopefuls](#). A list of these users including additional information is automatically maintained at [Wikipedia:List of administrator hopefuls](#).

Current nominations for adminship

Current time is 02:23:18, **13 January 2010 (UTC)**

[Purge page cache](#) if nominations have not updated.

Floquenbeam

[Voice your opinion on this candidate](#) ([talk page](#)) (41/1/0); Scheduled to end 16:40, 19 January 2010 (UTC)

Nomination

[Floquenbeam](#) ([talk](#) · [contribs](#)) –

Nomination from [Pedro](#) It is with pleasure that I nominate Floquenbeam for the sysop tools. Firstly, as noted on the talkpage of this RFA, Flo is **not** a new user, having edited previously under another account, now retired for privacy reasons. [Alison](#)'s comments on the [talk page](#) are, I hope, sufficient to reassure the community that this is

4. Did you ever edit Wikipedia prior to registering your present account? Would you be willing to disclose publicly or to key trusted editors any past, current, and future accounts?

A: Yes, I previously edited under another name. I retired that account for privacy reasons, so I don't want to publicly disclose its name. I've asked a Checkuser/Oversighter, [Alison](#), to review the old account's edits, and verify on-wiki that I'm not hiding any blocks, bans, warnings, aggressive editing, or any other skeletons in the closet or bad behavior. I've also asked her to review my "privacy reasons", to verify it's a legitimate concern, and not a smoke screen. Her comments can be found [here](#).

I do not have, nor do I plan to have, any undisclosed alternate accounts. If for some unanticipated reason that ever changes, I'll be happy to notify ArbCom, or whoever else the then-current policy says should be notified. I do have two publicly disclosed alternate accounts: [User:Floquensock](#) and [User:Floquenstein's monster](#); if this RFA passes, their main use will be if I happen to be on a public terminal, as practice dummies for blocking, and if Bishzilla needs to be put in her place.

5. Discuss the times when you've done something you regret doing. What happened, and what did you learn?

A: I can think of only two real black marks, times when I've wished I could take something back.

- [Here](#), back in June, when I [WP:POINTily](#) nominated an obviously notable article for deletion. This was in response to an ANI thread I stumbled onto, where an editor was being threatened with a [WP:NLT](#) block for objecting (in an admittedly inappropriate way) to the article's emphasis on some rather unflattering material. Rather than pointing out [WP:DOLT](#) at ANI and fixing it, I AFD'd the article instead. I'm a bit embarrassed about this for a couple of reasons. First, it wasted the time of those who participated; we all knew what the result of the AFD was going to be. Second, while I was busy being righteously indignant, [User:Steve Crossin](#) was busy actually cleaning up the article, which is what I should have been doing.

Additional question from [Keepscases](#)

6. Would you sacrifice your own life to save Wikipedia? Why or why not?

A: It depends; are we talking a peaceful death, at an old age, while asleep and dreaming about Salma Hayek, or are we talking pouring lemon juice into multiple stab wounds? Actually, don't answer that, the answer is probably "No" no matter what method you're talking about. Why not? Because I'm just selfish that way. However, if it helps, I'd be happy to draw up a list of people I *would* be willing to sacrifice to save Wikipedia. I'd have to email it to you, to sidestep [WP:NPA](#).

Additional optional questions from [Phantomsteve](#)

7. Could you please answer the following questions related to CSDs:

a. In your own words, could you explain the difference between [CSD A1](#) and [CSD A3](#)?

A. Neither one seems very common, really. A1 means there a little bit of text there, but you can't for the life of you figure out what they're trying to say. A3 means there's no "there" there; no encyclopedic content. Both are usually the result of someone experimenting, rather than vandalizing, so I'd be fairly gentle in my message on their talk page. If it looks like an honest attempt at something, I'll try (as an intellectual exercise more than anything else) to figure out what they're talking about. Not a perfect example, but for example, from yesterday, [There's a hole in the sky](#), although tagged differently, could have been an A1, but out of curiosity I poked around a little to see what was up, and replaced the speedy tag with a redirect.

b. In your own words, could you explain what would cause you to *decline* a request for a speedy deletion using criteria [A7](#)?

A. OK, but first, as I mentioned in Q1 above, I will tend to steer away from deleting, or declining, A7 speedy noms. The key with A7's is a *credible assertion of notability*. If the article said "John Q.

Support

1. **Strong support** - they are already an admin, they just need the bit flipped on. —**xeno**^{talk} 16:42, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
2. **Support** with gusto! Floquenbeam is certainly trustworthy and would be a fantastic addition to the list of administrators. **loeth** (talk contribs [twinkle](#) [friendly](#)) 16:44, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
3. **Strong Support** As co-nom. **Pedro** : **Chat** 16:49, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
4. **Majorly** talk 16:49, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
5. Thought you were an admin already, see no problems :) Regards, —**Cyclonenim** | **Chat** 16:50, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
6. **Support** I trust he'll the job right. **~DC** [Talk To Me](#) 16:52, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
7. Absolutely. Xeno said exactly what I was thinking. **JamieS93** 16:53, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
8. **Support** I see no cause for concern, and every reason to back this candidate. --
PhantomSteve/[talk](#)|[contribs](#)\ 16:55, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
9. Record of positive contributions, no obvious signs of kookery. The AFD mentioned above was a bonehead move, sure, but he recognizes this. A mistake is no big deal- we all make them. **Friday** ([talk](#)) 17:02, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
10. **Support** [Why not?](#) The candidate has a record of strong contributions, is friendly and helpful and not ashamed to admit mistakes - something which is very important in an admin. The only reason to doubt their suitability would be the previous, undisclosed account - but if Alison says that there were no concerns with that account, I trust her. And from what I *can* review, this candidate will do a fine job. 😊
Regards **SoWhy** 17:08, 12 January 2010 (UTC)
11. **Support** **Tan** | [39](#) 17:09, 12 January 2010 (UTC)

Statement by [Deacon of Pndapetzim](#)[\[edit\]](#)

[User:Deacon of Pndapetzim](#) tried to remove his own statement per concerns of historical accuracy, but got reverted.^[1]

*When this statement was made, the casename was **Piotrus 2***

Introduction

[\[edit\]](#)

Piotrus is not a new topic for ArbCom by any means, and neither is he for me. I hate doing this kind of thing, but there are issues here that cannot be solved by anything except ArbCom intervention. Let me first introduce my involvement. About two years ago I had a few minor run-ins with Piotrus related to [Jogaila](#), but it never really got bad, and since I've pretty much stayed away from eastern European stuff save editing a few uncontroversial medieval history articles. Piotrus and I have had a normal working relationship, agreeing on a lot of principles, and haven't been in much conflict at all. I'm not from eastern Europe and don't have any ethnic-issues that involve me in most of the disputes. Still, I've seen the arbcom cases, all the AN/I threads, ArbCom enforcement threads ... like watching a soap opera. So, although in the periphery of my wikivision, he has nonetheless been in (and out) of it.

Chronic WP:Battle problems

[\[edit\]](#)

Main article: [User:Deacon_of_Pndapetzim/Piotrus_2#WP:BATTLE_post-Arbcom](#)

Summary:

- Continued edit-warring and battling, in particular edit-warring with and provoking Lithuanian editors
- Spamming cut-and-paste provocative new articles
- Disingenuous blockshopping and ArbEnforcement, Piotrus' secret diff-stack on pl.wiki
- Block for 3rr violation, misrepresentation of "opponents" on IRC, and attempted bullying of blocking admin

Recent meatpuppetry as further illustration

[\[edit\]](#)

Main article: [User:Deacon_of_Pndapetzim/Piotrus_2#Recent_meatpuppetry](#)

Summary:

2010

Try Beta  [Log in / create account](#)

[article](#)

[discussion](#)

[edit this page](#)

[history](#)

Editing Mucous membrane

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia



You are not currently [logged in](#). Editing this way will cause your [IP address](#) to be [recorded publicly](#) in [this page's edit history](#). If you [create an account](#), you can conceal your IP address and be provided with many other [benefits](#). Messages sent to your IP can be viewed on [your talk page](#).
Please do not save test edits. If you want to experiment, please use the [sandbox](#).



hi mom

[article](#)[discussion](#)[edit this page](#)[history](#)

Editing Mucous membrane

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Warning: An automated filter has identified this edit as potentially unconstructive. *Please do not replace Wikipedia pages with blank content.* Blank pages are harmful to Wikipedia because they have a tendency to confuse readers.



- If it is a duplicate article, please [redirect](#) it to an appropriate existing page.
- If the page has been [vandalised](#), please [revert](#) it to the last legitimate version.
- If you feel that the content of a page is inappropriate, please [edit](#) the page and replace it with appropriate content or leave a message on the [talk page](#).
- If you believe the page should be deleted altogether, please see the [deletion policy](#) for information on how to proceed.
- If this edit is constructive, please click 'Save page' again, and [report this error](#).



You are not currently [logged in](#). Editing this way will cause your [IP address](#) to be [recorded publicly](#) in [this page's edit history](#). If you [create an account](#), you can conceal your IP address and be provided with many other [benefits](#). Messages sent to your IP can be viewed on [your talk page](#).

Please do not save test edits. If you want to experiment, please use the [sandbox](#).

(latest | [earliest](#)) View (newer 50 | [older 50](#)) (20 | 50 | 100 | 250 | 500)

- 16:01, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) Pentatonic scale (Reverting possible vandalism by 62.215.82.63 to older version. False positive? [Report it](#). Thanks, [ClueBot](#). (522651) (Bot)) **(top)**
- 16:00, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) User talk:169.244.49.203 (Warning 169.244.49.203 - #1) **(top)**
- 16:00, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) List of entities and changes in The World Factbook (Reverting possible vandalism by 169.244.49.203 to version by Full-date unlinking bot. False positive? [Report it](#). Thanks, [ClueBot](#). (522649) (Bot)) **(top)**
- 16:00, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) User talk:98.192.52.35 (Warning 98.192.52.35 - #1) **(top)**
- 16:00, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) College basketball (Reverting possible vandalism by 98.192.52.35 to version by Krschmitt. False positive? [Report it](#). Thanks, [ClueBot](#). (522650) (Bot)) **(top)**
- 15:58, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) User:ClueBot/PossibleVandalism (Adding possible vandalism) **(top)**
- 15:58, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) User talk:70.60.184.9 (Warning 70.60.184.9 - #2) **(top)**
- 15:58, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) Beauty contest (Reverting possible vandalism by 70.60.184.9 to version by Webblue. False positive? [Report it](#). Thanks, [ClueBot](#). (522647) (Bot)) **(top)**
- 15:58, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) User talk:80.88.220.5 (Warning 80.88.220.5 - #4) **(top)**
- 15:58, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) Sean Farrell (Reverting possible vandalism by 80.88.220.5 to version by SmackBot. False positive? [Report it](#). Thanks, [ClueBot](#). (522646) (Bot))
- 15:56, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) User talk:A13ercrombie (Warning A13ercrombie - #1) **(top)**
- 15:56, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) User:ClueBot/PossibleVandalism (Adding possible vandalism)
- 15:56, 13 January 2010 (hist | diff) [m](#) Advanced Placement Computer Science (Reverting possible vandalism by A13ercrombie to version by 72.88.48.195. False positive? [Report it](#). Thanks, [ClueBot](#). (522645) (Bot)) **(top)**

2010

2010 Haiti earthquake

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Coordinates:  18.4514°N 72.4452°W



This article documents a **current disaster**. Information regarding it may change rapidly as it progresses. Though this article is updated frequently, it **may not reflect** the most current or official information about this disaster for all areas.

The **2010 Haiti earthquake** was a catastrophic **magnitude 7.0 M_w earthquake** centered approximately 15 kilometres (9.3 mi) from **Port-au-Prince, Haiti**, at 16:53:09 local time (21:53:09 UTC) on Tuesday 12 January 2010.^[2] The earthquake occurred at a depth of 10 kilometres (6.2 mi). The **United States Geological Survey** recorded a series of **aftershocks**, twelve of them between magnitudes 5.0 and 5.9^[3].

Most of Port-au-Prince's major landmarks have been significantly damaged or destroyed in the earthquake, including the **Presidential Palace**, the **National Assembly** building, the **Port-au-Prince Cathedral** and at least one hospital.^{[4][5]} The **United Nations** reported that headquarters of the **United Nations Stabilization Mission in Haiti** (MINUSTAH), located in the capital, sustained serious damage and that a large number of UN personnel were

2010 Haiti earthquake



Date 21:53:09, 12 January 2010

2010

- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:32, 13 January 2010 68.43.169.0 (talk) (34,252 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:32, 13 January 2010 Zombie433 (talk | contribs) (33,719 bytes) (→*Casualties among foreigners*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:31, 13 January 2010 Ser Amantio di Nicolao (talk | contribs) (33,608 bytes) (→*International aid: - cleaning up*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:31, 13 January 2010 209.225.141.253 (talk) (33,642 bytes) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:29, 13 January 2010 Ser Amantio di Nicolao (talk | contribs) (33,551 bytes) (→*Aftermath: - moving a clause aboug*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:29, 13 January 2010 91.132.24.38 (talk) (33,553 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:29, 13 January 2010 91.132.24.38 (talk) (33,555 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:23, 13 January 2010 92.105.221.38 (talk) (33,460 bytes) (*Swiss aid : More precise sources added (but unfortunately not in english)*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:20, 13 January 2010 70.53.49.10 (talk) (33,104 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:19, 13 January 2010 70.53.49.10 (talk) (33,103 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:18, 13 January 2010 199.198.223.108 (talk) (33,066 bytes) (→*Canada*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:18, 13 January 2010 70.53.49.10 (talk) (33,032 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:17, 13 January 2010 K731 (talk | contribs) m (33,043 bytes) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:16, 13 January 2010 70.53.49.10 (talk) (33,044 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:16, 13 January 2010 68.43.169.0 (talk) (33,042 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)
- (cur) (prev) ○ 15:15, 13 January 2010 70.53.49.10 (talk) (32,784 bytes) (→*International aid*) (undo)

[article](#)[discussion](#)[edit this page](#)[history](#)

Ganymede (moon)



From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

For other uses, see [Ganymede](#).

Ganymede (pronounced /ˈɡænɪmiːd/,^[11] from the figure of [Ganymede](#) in [Greek mythology](#)) is a [moon of Jupiter](#) and the [largest moon](#) in the [Solar System](#). It is the seventh moon and third [Galilean moon](#) from [Jupiter](#).^[12] Completing an orbit in roughly seven days, Ganymede participates in a 1:2:4 [orbital resonance](#) with the moons [Europa](#) and [Io](#), respectively. It is larger in diameter than the planet [Mercury](#) but has only about half its mass. It has the highest mass of all planetary satellites with 2.01 times the mass of the [Earth's moon](#).^[13]

Ganymede is composed primarily of [silicate rock](#) and water ice. It is a fully [differentiated](#) body with an iron-rich, liquid core. A saltwater ocean is believed to exist nearly 200 [km](#) below Ganymede's surface, sandwiched between layers of ice.^[14] Its surface comprises two main types of terrain. Dark regions, saturated with [impact craters](#) and dated to four billion years ago, cover about a third of the satellite. Lighter regions, crosscut by extensive grooves and ridges and only slightly less

Ganymede



Image taken by the [Galileo](#) probe



Wikimedia

volunteer-generated content

Katie Filbert
filbertk@gmail.com

Credits: